

Taste masking method for bitter drug and tasteless dispersible tablet: an overview

Rajendra Jangde, Shailendra Saraf, Sanjay Daharwal*, Swarnlata Saraf
Institute of pharmacy, Pt. Ravishankar Shukla University, Raipur(C.G.), India 492010

* Corresponding author

Introduction

The problem of bitter and obnoxious taste of drug in pediatric and geriatric formulations is a challenge to the pharmacist in the present scenario. In order to ensure patient compliance bitterness masking becomes essential. Molecule interacts with taste receptor on the tongue to give bitter, sweet or other taste sensation, when they dissolve in saliva. This sensation is the result of signal transduction from the receptor organs for taste, commonly known as taste buds. These taste buds contain very sensitive nerve endings, which produce and transmit electrical impulses via the seventh, ninth and tenth cranial nerves to those areas of the brain, which are devoted to the perception of taste (1). Two approaches are commonly utilized to overcome bad taste of the drug (2). The first includes reduction of drug solubility in saliva, where a balance between reduced solubility and bioavailability must be achieved. Seen approach is to alter the ability of the drug to interact with taste receptor. An ideal taste masking process and formulation and characterization should have the following properties (3).

- 1) Involve least number of equipments and processing steps.
- 2) Require minimum number of excipients for an optimum formulation
- 3) No adverse effect on drug bioavailability
- 4) Require excipients that are economical and easily available.
- 5) Least manufacturing cost.
- 6) Can be carried out at room temperature.
- 7) Require excipients that have high margin of safety
- 8). Rapid and easy to prepare.

Methods of Taste Masking

Various methods are available to mask undesirable taste of the drugs. Some of these are as given below.

1. Coating of drug particles with inert agents

Coating is an extremely useful technique for number of applications in the pharmaceutical field. By coordinating the right type of coating material it is possible to completely mask the taste of a bitter drug, while at the same time, not adversely affecting the intended drug release profile.(4) Any nontoxic polymer that is insoluble at pH 7.4 and soluble at acidic pH, would be an acceptable alternative for taste masking. Taste masking of ibuprofen has been successfully achieved by using the air suspension coating technique to form microcapsules, which comprises a pharmaceutical core of a crystalline ibuprofen and methacrylic acid copolymer coating that provides chewable taste masked characteristics.(5) Various inert coating agents like starch; povidone, gelatin, methylcellulose, ethyl cellulose etc. are used for coating drug particles. One of the most efficient methods of drug particle coating is the fluidized bed processor. In this approach powder as fine as 50 μ m, are fluidized in expansion chamber by means of heated, high velocity air and the drug particles are coated with a coating solution introduced usually from the top as spray through nozzle. The coated granules are dried with warm air.(6)

2. Taste masking by formation of inclusion complexes

In inclusion complex formation, the drug molecule fits into the cavity of a complexing agent i.e., the host molecule forming a stable complex. The complexing agent is capable of masking the bitter taste of the drug by either decreasing its oral solubility on ingestion or decreasing the amount of drug

particles exposed to taste buds thereby reducing the perception of bitter taste. Vander Waals forces are mainly involved in inclusion complexes.(7) Beta-cyclodextrin is most widely used complexing agent for inclusion type complexes. It is sweet, nontoxic, cyclic oligosaccharide obtained from starch. Strong bitter taste of carbapentane citrate syrup was reduced to approximately 50% by preparing a 1:1 complex with cyclodextrin.(8) The suppression of bitter taste by cyclodextrin was in increasing order of alpha, gamma, and beta cyclodextrin.

3. *Molecular complexes of drug with other chemicals*

The solubility and adsorption of drug can be modified by formation of molecular complexes. Consequently lowering drug solubility through molecular complex formation can decrease the intensity of bitterness of drug. (9) reported that caffeine forms complexes with organic acids that are less soluble than xanthane and as such can be used to decrease the bitter taste of caffeine.(8)

4. *Solid dispersion system*

Solid dispersion have been defined as dispersion of one or more active ingredients in an inert carrier or matrix at solid state prepared by melting (fusion) solvent or melting solvent method.(10) Solid dispersion is also called as co precipitates for those preparation obtained by solvent method such as co precipitates of sulphathiazole and povidone. Solid dispersions using insoluble matrices or bland matrices may be used to mask the bitter taste of drugs. Also using them as absorbents on various carriers may increase the stability of certain drugs.

5. *Microencapsulation*

Microencapsulation as a process has been defined by as a means of applying relatively thin coating to small particles of solid, droplets of liquid and dispersion. This process can be used for masking of bitter tasting drugs microencapsulating drug particles with various coating agents. Coating agents employed includes gelatin, povidone, HPMC, ethyl cellulose, Bees wax, carnauba wax, acrylics and shellac. Bitter tasting drugs can first be encapsulated to produce free flowing microcapsules, which can then be blended with other excipients and compressed into tablets. Microencapsulation can be accomplished by variety of methods including air suspension, coacervation, phase separation, spray drying and congealing, pan coating, solvent evaporation and multiorifice centrifugation techniques.(11)

6. *Multiple Emulsions*

A novel technique for taste masking of drugs employing multiple emulsions has been prepared by dissolving drug in the inner aqueous phase of w/o/w emulsion under conditions of good shelf stability. The formulation is designed to release the drug through the oil phase in the presence of gastrointestinal fluid.(12,13)

7. *Prodrugs*

A prodrug is a chemically modified inert drug precursor, which upon biotransformation liberates the pharmacologically active parent drug. Examples of drug with improved taste are given below

Table no.1: Prodrugs with improved taste

Sr. no.	Parent drug	Prodrug with improved taste
1	Chloramphenicol	Palmitate ester
2	Clindamycin	Palmitate ester
3	Triamcinolone	Diacetate ester

8. *Mass extrusion method (Dispersion coating)*

This technology involves softening the active blend using the solvent mixture of water-soluble polyethylene glycol, using methanol and expulsion of softened mass through the extruder or syringe to get a cylinder of the product into even segments using heated blade to form tablets. The dried cylinder can also be used to coat granules of bitter tasting drugs and thereby masking their bitter taste.(14)

9. *Ion Exchange Resin*

Another popular approach in the development of taste masking is based on ion exchange resin. Ion exchange resins are solid and suitably insoluble high molecular weight polyelectrolytes that can exchange their mobile ions of equal charge with the surrounding medium. The resulting ion exchange is reversible and stoichiometric with the displacement of one ionic species by another.(15) Synthetic ion exchange resin have been used in pharmacy and medicine for taste masking or controlled release of drug as early as 1950.(16,17) Being high molecular weight water insoluble polymers, the resins are not absorbed by the body and are therefore inert. The long-term safety of ion exchange resins, even while ingesting large doses as in the use of cholestyramine to reduce cholesterol (17) is established unique advantage of ion exchange resins is due to the fixed positively or negatively charged functional groups attached to water insoluble polymer backbone. The adsorption of bitter drugs onto synthetic ion exchange resins to achieve taste coverage has been well documented. Ion exchange resins like Amberlite CG 50 was used for taste masking of pseudoephedrin in the chewable Rondec decongestant tablet.(17) Antibacterial belonging to quinolone category like ciprofloxacin was loaded on cation exchanger and administered to animals. The taste was improved as animal accepted the material more readily.(18) binding to a cation exchange resin like Amberlite IRP-69 masked the taste of peripheral vasodilator bufloimid. Manek S.P.et al. evaluated resins like Indion CRP 244 and CRP 254 as taste masking agents. Some bitter drugs whose taste has been masked by using ion exchange resin are listed in the table no.2.

Table No.2: Bitter Drugs masked by ion exchange resin

Drug	<i>Ion exchange resin</i>
Norfloxacin	Indion 204 (weak cation exchange resin)
Ciprofloxacin	Indion 234 (weak cation exchange resin)
Roxithromycin	Indion 204 (weak cation exchange resin)
Chloroquine phosphate	Indion 234 (weak cation exchange resin)

Ion exchange resins (IER) have received considerable attention from pharmaceutical scientists because of their versatile properties as drug delivery vehicles. In past few years, IER have been extensively studied in the development of Novel drug delivery system and other biomedical applications. Several ion exchange resin products for oral and peroral administration have been developed for immediate release and sustained release purposes. Research over last few years has revealed that IER are equally suitable for drug delivery technologies, including controlled release, transdermal, nasal, topical, and taste masking.

Table No.3: Taste masking by polymer coating

S.No.	drugs	technique	Polymer used	Ref. no.
1	Pinaverium bromide	coating	Cellulose or shellac	20
2	Propantheline bromide	coating	L-HPC,EC	20
3	Ibuprofen	Air-suspension coating	Methacrylic acid co-polymer(Eudragit)	21
4	Tripolidine HCL	Dispersion coating	HPMC	22
5	Dimenhydrinate	-	Eudragit or CMC	23
6	Cefeanel daloxate HCL	Granulation and coating	PVP,EC,HPMC	24
7	Enoxacin	-	HPMC,HPC,EC	25
8	Sparfloxacin	-	HPMC,HPC,EC, L-HPC	26,27
9	Aspirin	Rotagranulation and coating	Cellulose acetate latex and triacetine	28
10	Famotidine	-	HEC,HPMC	29
11	Amoxicillin trihydrate	Granulation	MCC,L-HPC	30
12	Acetaminophen	coating	Cellulose acetate,HPC/cellulose acetate,Eudragit E100,PVP	31,32
13	Morphine HCL	-	Cellulose,Eudragit NE 30D	33,34
14	Amiprilose HCL	-	Calcium gluconate, Calcium alginate	35
15	Terfenadine	Mixing	Sodium alginate,carrageenamand macrogol-400	36
16	Beclamide	Microencapsulation	Gelatin	37
17	Clarithromycine	Rotagranulation	Carbopol,PVP	38
18	Roxithromycin	Granulation and coating	PEG,EudragitL 100-55	39
19	Nizatidine	Spray drying	Eudragit E 100	40
20	Cetraxate HCL	Melt granulation and coating	Corn starch,Macrogol-6000	41,42
21	Ciprofloxacin	Microencapsulation	Eudragit NE30 D	43
22	Pirenzepine and Oxybutynin	Dispersion coating	Eudragit E-100,MCC, HPC	44
23	Diclofenac	Microencapsulation	Ethyl cellulose	45
24	Nicorandil	Coating	Crosscarmellose	46,47

			sodium,D-mannitol,lactose	
25	Levofloxacin	coating	Eudragit E-100 ,cellulose acetate	48,49
26	Cefuroxime axetil	Emulsion-solvent evaporation	Eudragit L-55a and RL	50

Recent approaches and development of taste masking: Development a taste masked microcapsules composition for oral administration of a drug. The composition compromise microcapsules of a drug and substantially water-insoluble polymeric material, typically a cellulose polymer (ethyl cellulose).(51-52) Taste masking was done phase separation coacervation, emulsion solvent diffusion technique in which the drug was coated with relatively high level of polymeric material. These high coating levels gives rise to effective taste masking, while the never less allowing targeted release of drug, so the drug was release shortly after passages through the mouth microcapsules were evaluated for flow, color, odor, mouthfeel/grittiness, taste-masking, bitterness, after taste and overall acceptance. (53-58) The microcapsules composition may be incorporated into any number of pharmaceutical formulations, including chewable tablets, effervescent tablet powders, liquid dispersion dispersible tablet.(59) Dispersible tablet: These are tablets that disintegrate within three minutes to form a suspension with a pleasant taste when placed in a small amount of water, e.g. in a tablespoonful or a glass of water. However, they can also be placed directly on the tongue and sucked.(60) Advantages of dispersible tablets· They are ease to swallow, so they are particularly suitable both for elderly persons with swallowing difficulties and for children.(61) · They have quicker onset of action. (62) ·Certain dispersible tablet's can also be divided.(62) · The bitter taste of the active substances must be masked in advance. · Owing to the number of possible applications, the patient compliance is improved.

Techniques Employed for Making Continues Dosage Forms

The drug i.e. the active pharmaceutical ingredient is finally formulated in a suitable dosage form such as tablet, powder, liquid, etc. Tablets: Most of the tablets can be effectively masked for their taste by applying inert polymer coatings that prevent the interaction of the drug substance with the taste buds. Nevertheless, attempts have been made time and again by several workers to investigate and explore the use of newer materials in bad taste abatement and good taste enhancement.(62) Powder: Have reported a granular composition for taste masking comprising of drug core of a NSAID and methacrylate ester copolymers as coating agents for taste masking. The method comprises of coating the drug cores with separate layers of aqueous dispersions of the copolymers. Granules of the invention could be used in the preparation of chewable tablets, which had good palatability and bioavailability.(63) Evaluation of Taste Masking Effect Sensory analysis has been used in developed countries for years to characterize flavors, odors, and fragrances. Historically expert provided formulation scientist with subjective data on the composition of one product with another. Nowadays, sensory analysis employs objective or analytical methods. Invented a multichannel taste sensor whose transducer is composed of several kinds of lipid/polymer membrane with different characteristics, which can detect taste in manner similar to human gustatory sensation. Taste information is transformed into a pattern composed of electrical signals of membrane potential of the receptor part. It was reported that suppression of bitterness of Quinine and a drug substance by sucrose could be quantified by using multi channel taste sensor. The present method can be expected to provide new automated method to measure the strength of drug substance in place of sensory evaluation. Evaluation of the taste masking effect from coated microsphere can be done by determining the rate of release of the drug from the microspheres. Similarly for evaluating the taste masking effect by ion exchange resin, the drug release rate can serve as an index of the degree of masking achieved. Other methods include evaluation by a trained flavor profile panel and time intensity method in which a sample equivalent to a normal dose was held in mouth for 10 seconds. Bitterness level are recorded immediately and assigned values between 0-3 (64).

Taste assessment:

Taste assessment one of the important quality control parameters for evaluation of taste masked formulation. Drug or formulation can be assessed using in vivo and in vitro methods of taste evaluation parameters.

In vitro approaches for taste assessment

Release studies are commonly used in taste assessment. To measure the effectiveness of coating and complexation within a formulation. They are indirect methods for assessing taste because the method does not contribute to the evaluation of taste and sweetness of the drug product. Novel drug release apparatus and pharmacopoeial apparatus have both been adapted to stimulate buccal dissolution of dosage forms so compare taste in different pharmaceutical formulations such as novel apparatus and method or drug dissolution. Release studies tend to stimulate the release of bitter or undesirable tasting drug in the mouth. In vitro approaches taste assessment In vitro drug release studies:

Pharmacopoeial release studies taste have been modified by altering the chemical composition of the dissolution media (e.g. artificial saliva) and reducing the size of the basket screen size (screen size < 0.381 mm square opening) to prevent particles from escaping. Taste masking is achieved when, in the early time points from 0-5 min. the drug substances in the dissolution medium is either not detected or amount is below the threshold for identifying its taste. Drug can be analyzed either spectrophotometrically or using HPLC. Of these HPLC is generally preferred especially when testing is performed in the presence of UV-absorbing component, such as flavorings and sweetener. A novel in vivo buccal dissolution testing apparatus and method for the assessment of taste masking in oral dosage forms have recently been invented. The apparatus consists of a single, stirred, flow-through filtration cell including a dip tube designed to remove fine solid particles. Simulated saliva is used as the dissolution medium. The filtrated solution is removed from the apparatus continuously and used to analyze the dissolved drug.

1. Voltammetric electronic tongue

The Voltammetric electronic tongue developed by S. Sense consists of four working metal electrodes made of gold, platinum, iridium and rhodium an Ag/AgCl reference and a stainless steel counter electrode. A relay box enables the working electrode to be connected consecutively, to form four standards three-electrode configurations. The potential pulses are applied by a potentiostat which is controlled by a personal computer. The PC used to set and control the pulse, measure and store current response, and to operate the relay box.

2. Electronic tongue developed by the University of Texas and Visions

The electronic tongue initially developed by the University of Texas consists of a light source, a sensor array and detector. The light source shines onto chemically adapted polymer beads arranged on a small silicon wafer, which is known as a sensor chip. The beads change color on the basis of the presence and quality of specific chemicals. The change in color is captured by a digital camera and the resulting signal converted into data using a video capture board and a computer. In vivo approaches for taste assessment: In vivo studies, stimuli are applied on to the tongue of either human or animals.

1. Humane taste panel studies

Human taste panel studies evaluate tastants (food, chemical, drug and so on) by estimating the gustatory sensation response in healthy human volunteers within a well-controlled process. Such studies are there for also known as physiological evaluation, gustatory sensation tests or taste trials. They are sensitively measured of taste and are spastically designed to minimize bias and response within and between human volunteers. Well-established methodology for performing sensory analysis can be broadly divided into five types, namely discrimination taste. Scaling taste, expert testers, affective taste and descriptive methods and have been excellently discussed. Volunteers assess the taste quality and intensity of standard taste stimuli on different adjective scales including various properties of the sample, such as overall intensity, sweet, odor, bitter, metallic, cooling, hot, spicy, anesthetic,

astringent etc. Each adjective can be rated on an intensity scale ranging from zero to four or perhaps even up to nine points on provided score sheets.

2. Animal preference testes

Bottle performance and condition taste aversion taste are used for taste for determining taste preference and concentration-response properties of tastant by animal 3 and 4 rats, mice, cats and dogs can be used for the potentiometrically e-tongue, incorporating an array of artificial lipid-polymer membranes as a fingerprint devices, has been developed as a promising tool for use in the quality control of phytomedicines. The miniaturization of taste sensors is particularly interest for the food and pharmaceutical industries. A portable low-cost sensing system has been made that interfaces to a Voltametric electronic tongue sensors. Screen-printing technologies have been used to developed a disposable taste sensors.(65)

Conclusion:

Taste masking of bitter drugs has been a challenge to the scientist. We have made an attempt to describe various methods, which could be suitable for taste masking of bitter drugs. The methods described in this review can be used for bench scale as well as pilot scale also. (66) There are numbers of technologies available which effectively mask the objectionable taste of drugs but require skillful application which does not affect the bioavailability of drug.(67) Recent trends of patient oriented practice demand design of patient oriented dosage form to achieve patient compliance. Taste masking of bitter drugs has significantly improved the quality of treatment provided to suffering patient, especially children.

References:

1. Reilly W.J.; Remington: The Science and Practice of Pharmacy, 20th edition, Mack publishing company, 2002, PP 1018-1020.
2. Brahmankar D.M., Jaiswal S.B., "Biopharmaceutics & Pharmaceutics"; First Edition; 1995, PP 335,162-163,165
3. Kuchekar B.S., Badhan A.C.and Mahajan H.S. "Mouth Dissolving Tablets: A Novel Drug Delivery System", Pharma Times, 2003,35, 7-9.
4. Mauer J.W., Robinson J.R.and Dennis H., US Patent, 1998, 5,728,403
5. Shen R.W., US Patent, 1996, 5,552152
6. Davis J.d.; Drug Cosmet. India; Encyclopedia of Pharmaceutical Technology, Volume II (2000).
7. Mendes W.R., Anaebonam A.O.and Daruwala J.B., In, Lachman L., Liberman H.A. and Kanig J.L., Theory and Practice of Industrial Pharmacy, Third Edition, 1976, PP 346,
8. Kurusumi, T., Imamori, K.and Isawa, A., Japan Patent, 1991,03236616
9. Lachman, L.; "Theory and practice of Industrial pharmacy"; Third Edition, 1986, PP 450
10. Liberman, H.A., Lachman, L., "Pharmaceutical Dosage Forms, Tablet Volume I, 1989, PP 11-14, 136, 198-199, 210- 212, 99-101, 159-160.
11. Bakan, J.A.; Capsule part III, Microencapsulation, Theory and Practice of Industrial Pharmacy, Third Edition, 1986, PP 412-429.
12. Rao, M.Y.and Bader,F., "Masking the Taste of Chloroquine by Multiple Emulsion," The East. Pharm, 1993, 123, (11).
13. Manek, S.P.; Kamat, V.S.; Indian Journal of Pharmaceutical Science; 1981, 43, 209-212.
14. Kasturagi, Y., Sugiura, Y.C., Lee, K., Otsugi, and Kurihara, "Selective Inhibition of Bitter Taste of Various Drugs By Lipoprotein.", Pharm. Res., 1995, 12,5, 658-662.
15. Swarbik,J.; Ion Exchange Resins And Sustained Release; Encyclopedia Of Pharmaceutical Technology, Vol-8, 2003, 203-217.
16. Dorfner, K. " Ion Exchanger Properties and Applications" Third Edition, Ann Arbor Science Publisher, 1972, 2.
17. Jain, N.K.; "Advances in Controlled and Novel Drug Delivery", First Edition, 2001, PP 290-306.
18. Borodkin, S.; Yonker, M.H.; Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences; 1970, 59(40), 481.

19. Sambhaji Pisal, Ranna Zainnudin, Praddin Nalawade, Kakasaheb Mahadik and Shivajirao Kadam. "Molecular Properties of Ciprofloxacin Indion 234 Complexes", AAPS Pharm.Sci.Tech, 2004 ;5(4).
20. Block, J.;Cassiere, A.; Christen, M.O. Galenical Form.Ger. Offen DE3900811,July 19, 1990.
21. Shen, R.W. Taste Masking of Ibuprofen by Fluid Bed Coating. US Patent 5,552,152, September 3,1996.
22. McCabe, T.T.; Stregner, R.A.; Sutton, J.E. Flavored Film Coated Tablet for Taste Masking. US Patent 5,098,715, March 24, 1992.
23. Gargely, G.; Gargely,T.; Gargely,I. Pharmaceutical Preparation in the Form of an Effervescent and/or Disintegrating Tablet or an Instant Granule and Process of Producing It. PCT Int. Appl. WO9313760, July 22,1993.
24. Tabata, T.; Yoshimi,A. Taste-Improving Oral Drug Composition. JP 04,327,531,November 17,1992.
25. Nakamura, Y.;Sogo, K.;Fujioka, H.; Makita, H.; Shirai, Y.Rapid-Releasing Oral Particle Pharmaceutical Preparation with Unpleasant Taste Masked. Eur. Pat. Appl. EP0409254, January 23, 1991.
26. Shirai, Y. A novel fine granule system for masking bitter taste. Biol. Pharm. Bull. 1993, 16(2),172-177.
27. Shirai, Y.;Sogo, K.; Fujioka, H.; Nakamura, Y. Influence of heat treatment on dissolution and masking degree of bitter taste for a novel fine granule system. Chem.Pharm. Bull. 1996,44(2),399-402.
28. Roche, E.J.; Reo, J.P. Rotogranulation and Taste Masking Coating for Preparation of Chewable Pharmaceutical Tablets. Can. Pat. Appl. CA2063141, March 16,, 1992.
29. Wheatley, T.A.; Erkoboni, D. F. Taste-Masked Medicaments and Their Preparation. PCT Int. Appl. WO9219209, November 12,1992.
30. Roche, E.J.; Freeman, E.M.; Papile, S.M. Taste Mask Coating for Preparing Chewable Tablets. Eur. Pat. Appl. EP0538034, April 21, 1993.
31. Olthoff, M.; De Boer, L.W.T.; Akkerboom, P.J. Pharmaceutical Composition, Pharmaceutical Granulate and Process of Their Preparation. Eur. Pat. Appl. EPP0281200, September 7, 1988.
32. Roche, E.J. Taste- Masking and Sustained-Release Coating for Pharmaceuticals. Eur. Pat. Appl. Ep0459695, December 4,1991.
33. Hoy, M.R.; Roche, E.J. Taste Mask Coating for Preparation of Chewable Pharmaceutical Tablets. Eur. Pat. Appl. EP0523847, January 20, 1993.
34. Mori, M.; Shimono, N.; Kitamora, K.; Tanaka, T.; Nakamura, Y. Granular Pharmaceutical Preparation. JP 05,213,740, August 24, 1993.
35. Nanda, A.; Kandarapu, R.; Garg, S. An update on taste masking technologies for oral pharmaceuticals. Indian J. Pharm. Sci. 2002, 64(1).10-17.
36. Andou, Y.; Hayata, K.; Mitake, K.; Takahashi,I.; Yamaga, H. Easily Swallowable Jelly Like Preparation Containing Terfenadine. JP 10,007,565,January 13, 1998.
37. Ozer, A.Y.;Hincal. A.A. Studies on the masking of unpleasant taste of beclamide: microcapsulation and tableting. J. Microencasul 1990.7(3), 327-339.
38. Saleki ,G.A.;Keske, E.R. Process for Aqueous Granulation of clarithromycin. PCT Int Appl. WO9716174, May 9, 1997.
39. Mapelli. L.G.; Markoni, M.G.R.; Zema. M. Pharmaceutical Formulation. Pct. Int. Appl. WO 9116043, October 31, 1991.
40. Cumming, K. I. ; Harris, E.M. Taste-Masked Formulation. PCT Int. Appl. WO9917742, April 15, 1999.
41. Harmiishi, C. Masked Granule Substances. JP 05,058,880, March9, 1993.
42. Harmiishi, C. Masked Granule Material. JP05,194,193, August 3, 1993.
43. Michaelis, J.; Poellinger, N.; Rupp, R.; Buecheler, M.D. ; Benke, K. Taste-Masked Pharmaceutical Compositions. Eur. Pat. Appl. EP0551820, July 21, 1993.
44. Shen, R.W.W. Taste Masking of Ibuprofen by Fluid Bed Coating. PCT Int. Appl. WO9115194, October 17, 1991.
45. Nomura, T.; Izumida, Y. Dry Syrup of Bifemelane Hydrochloride. JP 05,097,664, April 20, 1993.

46. Lorenzo-Lamosa, M.L.; Kuna, M.; Vila-Jato, J.L.; Torres, D.; Alonso, M.J. Development of microcapsulated form of cefuroxime axetil using pH-sensitive acrylic polymers. *J. Microencapsul* 1997, 14(5),660-616.
47. Ishikawa, T.; Watanabe, Y.; Utoguchi, N.; Matsumoto, M. Preparation and Evaluation of Tablets rapidly disintegrating in saliva containing bitter taste masked granules by direct compression method. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*1999, 47, 1451-1454. (Oct).
48. Al-Omran, M.F.; Al-Suwayeh, S.A.; El-Helw, A.M.; Saleh, S.I. Taste masking of diclofenac sodium using microencapsulation. *J. Microcapsul* 2002,19(1), 45-52.
49. Jin, Y.; Ohkuma, H.; Wang, C.F.; Natsume, H.; Sugibayashi, K.; Morimoto, Y. Pharmaceutical evaluation of fast-disintegrating tablet containing nicorandil-loaded particles. *Yao Xue Xue Bao* 2001,36(7), 535-538.
50. Yu, D.; Roche, E. Taste Masked Pharmaceutical Liquid Formulations. US 6,586,012, July 1, 2003.
51. Adjei, A, Doyle, R., Reiland, T., In; Swarbrick, J., Boylan, J.C., Eds; Encyclopedias of Pharmaceutical Technology, Vol. 6, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1992, 130.119.
52. Roy, G. M., *Pharm. Tech.*, 1994, 62
53. Hayashida, T.L.;Hatayama,M. composition Blended with Glenical Drug, Having Reduced Unpleasant Taste. JP05,372, January 26,1993.
54. Morella, A.M.; Lucas, S.Microcapsule Composition and Process. Can.Pat.Apply. CA2068366, November 11, 1992.
55. Cano,J.;Mintijano,H.;Lopez-Cremades,F.;Borrego,F. Masking of the bitter taste of pharmaceuticals.Manuf. Chemist.2000,71,16-17.
56. Ishimaru,T.;Hatanaka,S.;Miwa. T.;Furukawa,M.Clinical bitter masking test for plantogeusia.Chem.Sens.2001,26(1),91-93.
57. Suzuki,H.;Onishi,H.;Takahashi,Y.;Iwata,M.;Machida,Y.Development of oral acetaminophen chewable tablets with inhibited taste.Int. J. Pharm.2003,251(1-2),123-132.
58. Itoh,A.; Niwa,T.Rapidly Releasing and Taste Masking Pharmaceutical Dosages Form .U.S. Patent 6,221,402, April24,2001.
59. Yan Gao,Fu-deCui,Ying Guan,Lei Yang, Yong-Sheng Wang,Li-na Zhang."Preparation of roxithromycin-polymeric microsphere by the emulsion solvent diffusion method for taste masking" *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences* 318(2006)62-69.
60. Hayward, M., U.S. Patent, 37277, 1998
61. T.R.Saini Deepak Kaushik and Harish Dureja." Formulation of lamotrigine oro-dispersible tablets by superdisintegrating agents" *The Indian Pharmacist.*,January,2004,Vol.3, No.19.
62. Varsha B.Pokharkar,Sanjay J.Kshirsagar and Laila Fatima" Taste masking of Pharmaceuticals" *Pharmainfo.* Page no.7-11,2006.
63. N.Pearnchob, J.Siepmann,Ph.D.,and R. Bodmeier,Ph.D."drug development of industrial pharmacy" Vol..29, No.8 ,Pp925-938,2003
64. Harmic sohi, Yasmin Sultana, Roop K.Khar." Drug development and industrial pharmacy" Vol.30, No.5pp429-448, 2004.
65. Vikas Anand,Mahesh Kataria, Vipin Kukkar.Vandana Saharan and Pratim Kumar Choudhary" The latest trend in taste assesment of pharmaceuticals"doi;10.1016/drudis.2007.01.010.
66. Kaneko, K., Kanada, K., Yamada, T., Miyagi, M., Saito, N., Ozeki, T. Yususa, H., Kanaya, Y., *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, 1997, 45(2), 1063.
67. Namiki, T., Tokagi, N. Japan Patent, 09052850, 1997